

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Perent and Trademark Office Address: COMMERCE UNITED STATES P.O. Boy 1450
Alexandry Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	Ю.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,635 01/23/2001		01/23/2001	Terence J. Nelson	MATI-194US	3429
23122	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNE	RPREST	IA	NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T		
POBOX		DA 10492 0090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				2137	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>,                                    </u>							
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	09/767,63	5	NELSON, TERENCE J.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Minh Dieu		2137					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 26 July 2003.							
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
·	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen			_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	248)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/767,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the RCE dated July 26, 2003 with the amendments to claims 1-2 and 13.

Claims 1-15 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 13 and all dependent claims depending on claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "the analog signal identifies a unique copy of the digital work" in claim 1 and the phrase "that is noticeably different from the one of said sequential data objects when the one data object and the alternate data object are reproduced in analog form" in claim 13 are not properly described in the application as stated in the remarks (page 8, lines 1-7; page 2, line 22 page 3, line 3).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/767,635

Art Unit: 2137

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. The term "artistically equivalent" in claim 1-4, 8 and 13 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "artistically equivalent" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. There is no standard by which the two members are close together to be artistically equivalent.

## Specification

6. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the specifications are not clear on "the analog signal identifies a unique copy of the digital work" and "noticeably different from the one of the sequential data objects when the one data object and the alternate data object are reproduced in analog form".

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3873. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

WAY mdn 8/22/05 Minh Dieu Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2137

> MATTHEWSMITHERS PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2137